

APPENDIX B(i)

Scrutiny request

Issue - Information gathering on the operation of PubWatch and its role in our community.

Request from - Councillor Dan Hermitage

Public interest - Night time economy, safety of residents, local hospitality industry,

Score = High

Ability to change - Scrutiny committee can have an objective look at the structure, decision making and operation of PubWatch and how this benefits the community, making recommendations for practice if anything is found for improvement.

Score = High

Performance - This request does not relate to the performance of any of the Council services.

Score = Low

Extent - This request affects Worthing Borough

Score = Medium

Replication - This request has not been submitted previously to JOSC.

Score = High

Expected Outcomes - A much better understanding of how PubWatch operates in the Borough, how it operates in the best interest of residents and its organisation governance surrounding criteria such as confidentiality, GDPR and public safety.

Does the proposed review link with the Council strategic objectives or does the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee have the ability to influence and/or add value on the subject?

This proposal falls in line with strategic objectives such as The Big Listen, where scrutiny can become part of the process by learning from other organisations in the area and extracting information that can benefit residents. I think JOSOC could have the ability to make suggestions and pass on thoughts to the organisation to make it operate as effectively as possible in the town.

Score = High

How could this review be undertaken? Initially I think it would be a good idea to invite the organisation (chairperson perhaps) to a JOSOC meeting with the space for members to ask questions, ascertain information and then further decisions can be made.

Recommendations from Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee Chairpersons/Vice-Chairpersons:-

To be confirmed prior to JOSOC.